Implementation of an active veto against atmospheric muons in IceCube DeepCore

Olaf Schulz*, Sebastian Euler[†] and Darren Grant[‡] for the IceCube Collaboration[§]

*Max-Planck Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69171 Heidelberg, Germany [†]III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany [‡]Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA [§]See the special section of these proceedings

Abstract. The IceCube DeepCore [1] has been designed to lower the energy threshold and broaden 2 the physics capabilities of the IceCube Neutrino 3 Observatory. A crucial part of the new opportunities 4 provided by the DeepCore is offered by the possibility 5 to reject the background of atmospheric muons. This 6 can be done by using the large instrumented volume 7 of the standard IceCube configuration around Deep-8 Core as an active veto region. By thus restricting the expected signal to those neutrino events with an 10 interaction vertex inside the central DeepCore region. 11 it is possible to look for neutrinos from all directions, 12 including the Southern Hemisphere that was previ-13 ously not accessible to IceCube. A reduction of the 14 atmospheric muon background below the expected 15 rate of neutrinos is provided by first vetoing events 16 in DeepCore with causally related hits in the veto 17 region. In a second step the potential starting vertex 18 of a muon track is reconstructed and its credibility 19 is estimated using a likelihood method. Events with 20 vertex positions outside of DeepCore or with low 21 starting probabilities are rejected. We present here 22 these newly developed veto and vertex reconstruction 23 techniques and present in detail their capabilities in 24 background rejection and signal efficiency that have 25 been obtained so far from full Monte Carlo studies. 26 Keywords: high energy neutrino-astronomy, Ice+5 27

Cube, DeepCore 46

28

29

I. INTRODUCTION

48

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory [2] is currently 30 being built at the geographic South Pole in Antarcticaso 31 After completion it will consist of ~4800 digital optical 32 modules (DOMs) on 80 strings instrumenting one cubie 33 kilometer of ice at a depth between 1450 m and 2450 ms 34 Each DOM consists primarily of a photomultiplier tube₄ 35 and read-out electronics in a glass pressure vesseks 36 IceCube is designed to detect highly energetic neutrino₅₆ 37 induced muons and cascades that produce Cherenkov 38 radiation in the medium. Significant backgrounds to the 39 signal, caused by muons from atmospheric air showers 40 above the detector, limit the field of view to the Northerm 41 hemisphere for many studies that use neutrino events in 42 IceCube. In addition to its nominal layout, the DeepCore 43 extension to the observatory will lower the IceCube3 44



Fig. 1. Schematic view of the IceCube DeepCore

energy threshold from ~ 100 GeV down to neutrino energies as low as 10 GeV. This improvement in the detector energy response is achieved by including 6 extra strings, deployed in a denser spacing, around a standard central IceCube string. Each of these strings will be equipped with 60 DOMs, containing Hamamatsu high quantum efficiency photo multiplier tubes (HQE PMTs). 50 of these DOMs will be placed in a dense spacing of ~ 7 m in the lowest part of the detector where the ice is clearest and scattering and absorption lengths are considerably longer [3]. The remaining 10 modules are to be placed in a 10 m spacing at a depth from 1760 m to 1850 m. This position has been chosen in order to improve IceCube's capabilities to actively identify and reduce the atmospheric muon background to the central DeepCore volume, as described below. The HQE PMTs have a quantum efficiency that is up to 40% higher, depending on wavelength, compared to the standard IceCube PMTs, while their noise rate is on average

95

⁶⁴ increased by about 35%. Together with the 7 neighboring
⁶⁵ IceCube strings DeepCore will consist of 13 strings and
⁶⁶ be equipped with 440 optical modules instrumenting a
⁶⁷ volume of ~13 megatons of water-equivalent.

DeepCore will improve the IceCube sensitivity for 68 many different astrophysics signals like the search for 69 solar WIMP dark matter and for neutrinos from Gamma-70 Ray Bursts [4]. It also opens the possibility to investigate 71 atmospheric neutrino oscillations in the energy regime of 72 a few tens of GeV [5]. An additional intriguing oppor-73 tunity offered by DeepCore is the possibility to identify 74 neutrino signals from the southern hemisphere. Such a 75 measurement requires a reduction of the atmospheric 76 muon background by more than a factor 10^6 in order to 77 obtain a signal (atmospheric neutrinos) to background 78 rate better than 1. A first step toward achieving this 79 reduction is implicit in the design of DeepCore. Back-80 ground events which trigger DeepCore with a minimum 81 number of hits in the detector volume must pass through 82 a larger overburden resulting in a order of magnitude 83 decrease to the atmospheric muon rate. Two additional steps are then performed to attain the remaining 10^5 85 rejection factor. The first is a veto of DeepCore events 86 with causally related hits in the surrounding IceCube 87 volume, reducing the background rate by $\frac{10^2}{10}$ to $\frac{10^{321}}{10^{321}}$ 88 Then we apply a vertex reconstruction algorithm base² 89 on a maximum-likelihood method that determines the3 90 approximate neutrino interaction vertex. By rejecting 91 events with a reconstructed vertex outside the centraf DeepCore volume a full 10⁶ background reduction cafe 127 be achieved. 94

II. TRIGGERING DEEPCORE

The first reduction of the atmospheric muon back³⁰ 96 ground rate, with respect to IceCube, is achieved bigi 97 applying a simple majority trigger (SMT) to the Deep³² 98 Core region, based on number of channels registerinig3 99 a hit in coincidence with a neighbor DOM. The trigger 100 hit coincidence requirement, also known as hard locat 101 coincidence (HLC), is such that each channel is accom³⁶ 102 panied by at least one more hit on one of the four closest? 103 neighboring modules within a time window of ± 1000 ns. 104 The rate of atmospheric muons triggering DeepCore is 105 largely dependent on the multiplicity that is required. In 106 this study we applied a trigger requirement of 6 HLC hitso 107 (SMT6), which translates to an average neutrino energy 108 of approximately 10 GeV. 109 142

Table I shows the approximate detector rates for 110 the trigger level and after application of the veto alt 111 gorithm. The background events, muons from cosmias 112 ray air showers, are simulated using CORSIKA [6]. Ine 113 this study only the most energetic muon is propagated 114 115 through the full detector simulation. This is a conservate tive approach since the other muons could only improve 116 the veto efficiency. The signal rate given here is the rate 117 of neutrinos produced in atmospheric air showers and 118 has been determined following the flux calculations of 119 the Bartol group [7]. Neutrino oscillation effects, that 120



Fig. 2. Scheme of the veto principle: Illustration of the DeepCore hit center of gravity (COG), vertex time and particle speed per hit.

are expected to have an influence on the atmospheric neutrino rate for energies <50 GeV have not been taken into account yet. Since the goal is to identify starting muon tracks specifically, the signal is restricted to those events with a simulated interaction vertex within the DeepCore volume. The efficiencies given in Table I relate to events that fulfill this requirement and have a DeepCore SMT6 trigger. The background rejection factors refer to the expected main IceCube trigger rate, build up from an IceCube only SMT8 trigger, a String Trigger which requires 5 out of 7 aligned modules on a string to be fired in a trigger window of 1500 ns, as well as the DeepCore SMT6 trigger itself. From Table I it is apparent that applying the SMT6 trigger gives a background rate which exceeds the signal by a factor $\sim 10^5$. This sets the challenge for the performance of the veto algorithms to be applied.

128

129

III. THE VETO ALGORITHM

DeepCore is surrounded by more than 4500 DOMs that can be used as an active veto volume to reject atmospheric muons. If hits in the surrounding standard IceCube array are consistent with a particle moving downwards with v=c the event is rejected. In contrast to the triggering, this veto algorithm, as well as, following reconstructions, makes use of all hits in the detector, including hits on DOMs without HLC (a mode called soft local coincidence, or SLC). To reduce the amount of dark noise hits, we rejectany hits that are isolated from others by more than 150 m in distance and by more than 1000 ns in hit time. To determine whether or not to reject an event we initially compute the average hit PMT position and an approximate start time (vertex time) of the DeepCore fiducial volume hits (see Fig.

 TABLE I

 BACKGROUND AND SIGNAL RATES AFTER DEEPCORE TRIGGER AND CAUSAL HIT VETO

	atm. μ (CORSIKA)	rejection	atm. ν_{μ} (Bartol)	eff.	atm. $ u_{\mu}$ upwards	atm. ν_{μ} down- wards
main IceCube triggers	$2279 \ s^{-1}$	-	-	-	-	-
SMT6 (HLC, signal vertex in DC)	$102 \ {\rm s}^{-1}$	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.799 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	100%	$0.901 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$0.895 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Veto (SLC)	$1.2 \ {\rm s}^{-1}$	$5.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.719 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	95.5%	$0.863 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$0.856 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$



Fig. 3. Particle speed probabilities per event for atmospheric muons (dotted line) and muons induced by atmospheric neutrinos inside DeepCore (solid line).

187 188

2). The interaction position is determined by using the 154 subset of those hit DOMs that have times within one 155 standard deviation of the first guess vertex time. This has 156 the benefit of reducing the contribution from PMT dark, 157 noise and, by weighting the DOMs by their individual, 158 charge deposition, a reasonable center of gravity (COG)₄ 159 for the event is computed. By making the assumption 160 that roughly all light in the DeepCore volume originates 161 from the COG a more thorough estimation of the vertex 162 time is possible. For each individual hit the time light 163 would have needed to travel from the COG to the hits 164 module is calculated and subtracted from the originade 165 PMT hit time. The average of these corrected PMT hito 166 times is then considered as the vertex time. 167 201 Each hit in the veto region gets assigned a partickee 168 speed, defined as the spatial hit distance to the DeepCozes 169 COG divided by the time difference to the DeepCoze4 170 vertex time. This speed is defined to be positive if thes 171 hit occurred before the vertex time and negative if its 172 appeared after. Causally related hits in the veto region-173 are generally expected to have a speed close to the 174 speed of the muon, which is very close to the speed 175 of light in vacuum (0.3 m/ns). Smaller speeds occur for 176 hits that have been scattered and thus arrive late. Largen 177 speeds are in principle acausal, but since the vertex time2 178 represents the start of a DeepCore event, whereas thes 179 COG defines its center, the particle speeds for early hits4 180 are slightly overestimated. Late hits on the other hands 181 have typically lower speeds. Fig. 3 shows the probability6 182 of the occurrence of a particular particle speed per 183 event. The dotted curve describes the simulated muon 184 background from air-showers (CORSIKA) and the solid 185



Fig. 4. Principle of the vertex reconstruction

curve the neutrino signal with an interaction vertex inside DeepCore and a flux according to Bartol the Bartol group [7]. The peak for the CORSIKA muons is slightly above +0.3 m/ns while muons induced by neutrinos in DeepCore mainly give hits with negative particle speeds. The peak at positive speeds close to zero is mainly due to early scattered light. By cutting out all events with more than one hit within a particle speed window between 0.25 and 0.4 m/ns we achieve an overall background rejection on the order of $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (see Table I).

IV. THE VERTEX RECONSTRUCTION

To achieve the remaining three orders of magnitude of background rejection, a second algorithm is used. The algorithm analyzes the pattern of hit in an event in conjunction with an input direction and position of a reconstructed track. From this it estimates the neutrino interaction vertex and calculates a likelihood ratio which is used as a measurement for the degree of belief that the track is starting at the estimated position.

As shown in Fig. 4, we trace back from each hit DOM to the reconstructed track using the Cherenkov angle of 41° in ice. This projection is calculated for all DOMs within a cylindrical volume of radius 150 m around the track and the DOMs are ordered according to this position. (Note that 150 m is large enough to contain virtually all photons produced by the track.) The projection of the first hit DOM in the up-stream direction defines the neutrino interaction (reconstructed) vertex. A reconstructed vertex inside IceCube indicates a potential starting (neutrino-induced) track.

Due to the large distance between neighboring strings, atmospheric muons may leak through the veto, producing their first hit deep inside the detector and thus



Fig. 5. Distributions of the cut parameters of the vertex reconstruction: Likelihood ratio (left), position of the reconstructed vertex (right).

mimicking the signature of a starting track. Therefore it is necessary to quantify for each event the probass bility of actually starting at the reconstructed vertex. To determine this starting likelihood, one first selects all DOMs without a hit and with a projection on thes assumed track up-stream of the first hit DOM. Thee probability that each of these DOMs did not receive 287 hit is calculated assuming two track hypotheses: a track starting at the reconstructed vertex and a track starting outside the detector volume. Under the assumption of an external track p(noHit|Track) is calculated. Here, for each DOM the probability of not being hit (in spite of the2 passing track) depends on track parameters (energy offs the light emitting particle, position and direction of the4 track) and ice properties. The probability is calculated from the expected number of photoelectrons, taken from Photorec tables of the Photonics project [8], assuming Poisson statistics: 258

$$p_{\lambda}(\text{noHit}) = p_{\lambda}(0) = \frac{\lambda^{0}}{0!}e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda}.$$
 (1)

²¹⁷ λ is the expected number of photoelectrons. Under the assumption of a starting track p(noHit|noTrack) is calculated, which is equal to the probability of a noise hit and can therefore be calculated from measured noise rates. 266

The likelihood for the full, observed pattern of hit DOMs may now be constructed as the product of the individual hit probabilities. A track is classified as starter ing in the detector according to the probability given by the ratio of the likelihoods. For a clearly starting track this ratio is a negative number, and the larger the valufe⁴ the higher the starting probability for the track. 272

To select tracks starting inside the detector, cuts $a_{\underline{r}}^{273}$ 229 applied on the position of the reconstructed vertex and 230 on the likelihood ratio. Preliminary studies indicates 23 that the remaining background rejection needed can b_{278}^{277} 232 achieved if the event is required to have a likelihood ratio 233 smaller than -6 with the position of the reconstructed 234 vertex below a depth of 2050 m and within a radius δ_{1}^{*} 235 260 m around the center of DeepCore. The distributions 236 of the cut parameters are shown in Fig. 5. With the4 237 given cuts, the combined veto has a background rejection 238 power of at least six to seven orders of magnitude. The 239 volume defined by this radius and depth cut is larger than 240 the densely instrumented DeepCore volume and thus 241

there is still some room for improvement of the rejection power, although at the cost of maximal effective volume.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have presented the methods developed thus far to reduce the rate of background muon events within the IceCube DeepCore detector. Utilizing the instrumented standard IceCube volume around DeepCore as an active veto to identify and reject atmospheric muon events improves the possibility of detecting neutrino induced muons and cascades independent of direction. The rate of atmospheric muons is mainly reduced in a two step process. First, a veto algorithm is applied against DeepCore events with causally related hits in the surrounding IceCube region. Second, applied to the veto surviving events, a cut has been defined, using a likelihood ratio, to determine the probability that the event had a starting vertex within the fiducial region of the detector. Monte Carlo studies indicate that both methods together are suitable to reduce the background muon rate by more than the factor of 10^6 needed to obtain a signal (atmospheric neutrinos) to background ratio of > 1. IceCube and DeepCore are currently under construction and will be finished in 2011. The fully deployed DeepCore detector will provide an effective volume of several megatons of water equivalent for neutrino events with an energy above 10 GeV and a starting vertex in DeepCore. The exact volume will depend on the required signal-to-noise ratio and the individual analysis strategies.

REFERENCES

- E. Resconi, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Proceedings to VLVnT08, (2008).
- [2] A. Achterberg, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Astroparticle Physics 26, 155 (2006).
- [3] M. Ackermann, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 111, D13203 (2006).
- [4] J. N. Bahcall and P. Meszaros, Physics Review Letters, Vol. 85, p. 1362, (2000).
- [5] E. K. Akhmedov, M. Maltoni and A. Y. Smirnov, Journal of High Energy Physics 5, 77 (2007).
- [6] D. Heck et al, Report FZKA 6019 (1998).
- [7] G. D. Barr, et al., Physical Review D 70, 023006 (2004).
- [8] J. Lundberg et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods, Vol. A581, pp. 619-631, (2007).